

Understanding Method Binding in Java

By [Kishori Sharan](#)

Published on: February 6, 2012 at www.jdojo.com

Method binding is a mechanism of associating a method call in Java code to the declaration and implementation of the method being called. When we mention the phrase “declaration of the method”, we are referring to the method signature, which consists of the method name, and the order and the data type of its parameters. When we mention the phrase “implementation of the method”, we are referring to the body of the method that executes at runtime as part of the method call.

The compiler always decides the signature of the called method. Depending on the method type (static, non-static, and interface), the compiler or the runtime decides what implementation of the method is executed at runtime.

Let us consider three Java programs listed in Listing 1, Listing 2, and Listing 3.

Listing 1: An Employee class

```
// Employee.java
package com.jdojo.blogs.methodbinding;

public class Employee {
    public void setSalary(double salary) {
        System.out.println("Inside Employee.setSalary()");
    }
}
```

Listing 2: A Manager class

```
// Manager.java
package com.jdojo.blogs.methodbinding;

public class Manager extends Employee {
    public void setSalary(int salary) {
        System.out.println("Inside Manager.setSalary()");
    }
}
```

Listing 3: A Test class to test method binding

```
// Test.java
package com.jdojo.blogs.methodbinding;

public class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        /* Create a Manager object and assign its reference to a
           variable emp of the Employee type
           */
        Employee emp = new Manager();

        /* Call the setSalary() method */
    }
}
```

```
int salary = 12000;
emp.setSalary(salary); /* Which setSalary() method is called.
                        Employee.setSalary() or Manager.setSalary() */
}
}
```

Output:

Can you tell what is printed when the Test class is run?

The following is my favorite question, which I ask in written tests for Java developers. So far, none of the Java developers has answered this question correctly. Let us see if you can answer this question correctly with a correct explanation.

What is printed on the standard output when the `Test` class is run? Does it print “Inside `Employee.setSalary()`” or “Inside `Manager.setSalary()`”?

The correct answer is “Inside `Employee.setSalary()`”. To understand the output, you need to read the full post and make sure that you understand the method binding mechanism in Java.

The following statement in the `Test` class declares an `emp` reference variable of `Employee` type and assign it an object of the `Manager` class.

```
Employee emp = new Manager();
```

The above statement compiles and runs fine, because of the upcasting rules in Java, which states, “An object of a subclass can be assigned to a variable of a superclass.”

The following snippet of code calls the `setSalary()` method on the `emp` reference variable.

```
int salary = 12000;
emp.setSalary(salary);
```

The `setSalary()` method is a non-static method. The `emp` reference variable holds a reference to a `Manager` object. A common understanding (and misunderstanding as well) among the Java developers is that a non-static method call is bound at runtime, so the Java runtime will decide which `setSalary()` method to call in the `emp.setSalary(salary)` statement. However, that is only half of the story. Since most of the textbooks go only up to this extent to describe the method binding mechanism, readers of those textbooks never get past this fact, and hence, keep making mistakes like answering the above question wrong. Most of the Java developers think that the `emp.setSalary(salary)` method call is bound to the `setSalary(int)` method of the `Manager` class, because the `emp` reference variable holds a reference of a `Manager` object and the method call uses an `int` argument, which is matched to the `setSalary()` method of the `Manager` class. If you thought the same way (and most likely you did), you are wrong. So, here is the full story of method binding in Java.

The following are the two parts of a method, and two steps in a method binding.

- Method signature - the method name, the order and the data type of the formal parameters
- Method implementation - body of the method, which executes at runtime
- Compile-time binding - performed by the compiler
- Runtime binding - performed by the Java runtime

Table 1 lists the method types, method parts and the stages when they are bound.

Table 1: Method types and the stages when a part of the method is bound

Method Type	Compile-time Binding	Runtime Binding
Non-Static	Method Signature	Method Implementation
Static	Method Signature Method Implementation	

It is clear from Table 1 that the signature of a method is always bound at compile-time. That is, the compiler always decides the signature of the called method - it does not matter if a method is a static or non-static method. Let us apply this rule to the following statement.

```
emp.setSalary(salary);
```

First, the compiler determines the compile-time (also known as static or declared type) of the `emp` reference variable. Since the `emp` reference variable has been declared of `Employee` type, the compile-time type of the `emp` variable is `Employee`. The compiler looks for a compatible method signature (declared or inherited), `setSalary(int)`, in the `Employee` class. It finds a `setSalary(double)` method in the `Employee` class, which is compatible to `setSalary(int)` method call, after the argument widening from `int` to `double`. The compiler binds the `emp.setSalary(salary)` method call to a method `setSalary(double)`. Note that the compiler has bound the call to a method `setSalary()`, which accepts a `double` argument. The argument of the called method `setSalary()` has already been decided to `double`, and it cannot be changed at runtime. Can we prove this fact? Yes. We can prove it by disassembling the class file for the `Test` class. We need to use the `javap` tool to disassemble the `Test.class` file. The `javap` tool is located in the `JAVA_HOME\bin` folder, where `Java_HOME` is the JDK installation folder. You can run the `javap` tool as follows. The `-c` option disassembles the byte code and prints it on the standard output.

```
javap -cp YOUR-CLASSPATH-GOES-HERE -c com.jdojo.blogs.methodbinding.Test
```

Output of the `javap` tool:

```
Compiled from "Test.java"
public class com.jdojo.blogs.methodbinding.Test {
    public com.jdojo.blogs.methodbinding.Test();
        Code:
            0: aload_0
            1: invokespecial #1 // Method java/lang/Object."<init>":()V
            4: return

    public static void main(java.lang.String[]);
        Code:
            0: new           #2 // class com/jdojo/blogs/methodbinding/Manager
            3: dup
            4: invokespecial #3 // Method
com/jdojo/blogs/methodbinding/Manager."<init>":()V
            7: astore_1
            8: sipush       12000
            11: istore_2
            12: aload_1
            13: iload_2
            14: i2d
            15: invokevirtual #4 // Method com/jdojo/blogs/methodbinding/Employee.setSalary:(D)V
```

```
18: return
}
```

We are interested only in the following line in the disassembled code.

```
15: invokevirtual #4 // Method com/jdojo/blogs/methodbinding/Employee.setSalary:(D)V
```

This is the byte code instruction that is generated for the statement `emp.setSalary(salary)` in the `Test` class. The last part `setSalary(D)V` means a `setSalary` method, which accepts a double (D for double) parameter and returns void (V for void). The instruction `invokevirtual` indicates that the actual implementation of the `setSalary()` method will be decided at runtime.

When the Java runtime tries to execute the above byte code instruction for the `emp.setSalary(salary)` statement, it finds that `emp` is referring to an object of the `Manager` class. The runtime looks for a `setSalary(D)V` method in the `Manager` class. Since the `Manager` class has a `setSalary(I)V` method (I for int), the runtime does not find a matching method in the `Manager` class. Now, the runtime moves one level up in the class hierarchy and looks for `setSalary(D)V` method in the `Employee` class and it finds it. So, the runtime decides to execute the implementation of the `setSalary(double)` method in the `Employee` class, and hence, you get the message “Inside `Employee.setSalary()`” printed on the standard output.

The declaration of the `setSalary(int)` method in the `Manager` class is an example of method overloading, and not method overriding. The `Manager` class has two `setSalary()` methods - a `setSalary(double)` inherited from the `Employee` class and a `setSalary(int)` declared in it. If you apply the logic discussed above, you should not have any problems in deciding which method will be called as part of a method call.

To give a new twist to our discussion, let us consider a little variation of the `Test` class, which we call `Test2`.as listed in Listing 4.

Listing 4: Test2 class

```
// Test2.java
package com.jdojo.blogs.methodbinding;

public class Test2 {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        /* Create a new Manager object and assign its reference to a variable
           of Employee type
           */
        Employee emp = new Manager();

        /* Call the setSalary() method */
        int salary = 12000;
        ((Manager)emp).setSalary(salary); /* Which setSalary() method is
                                           called. Employee.setSalary() or
                                           Manager.setSalary()
                                           */
    }
}
```

Output:

Can you tell what is printed when the Test2 class is run?

Can you explain the output when the `Test2` class is run? It is easy. The `Test2` class has only one statement that is different from the `Test` class. It calls the `setSalary(salary)` method on the `(Manager) emp` expression instead of the `emp` expression. All you need to decide is - what is the compile-time type of the expression `(Manager) emp`. When you use a cast, the compile-time type of the expression is the cast type itself. That is, the compile-time type of the expression `(Manager) emp` is `Manager`. Therefore, the compiler will bind the `setSalary(salary)` method call to the `setSalary(int)` method of the `Manager` class. You can confirm this by disassembling the `Test2` class using the `javap` tool, which outputs the following instruction. The last part `setSalary(I)V` means - a `setSalary()` method, which accepts an `int` (I for `int`) parameter and returns `void` (V for `void`).

```
17: invokevirtual #4 // Method com/jdojo/blogs/methodbinding/Manager.setSalary:(I)V
```

When the java runtime attempts to bind the `setSalary(salary)` call, it looks for a `setSalary(I)V` - starting from the `Manager` class and searching up the class hierarchy if not found. In this case, the runtime finds a `setSalary(I)V` method in the `Manager` class, and executes that method, which prints "Inside `Manager.setSalary()`".

In the case of a static method, everything for a method call - the method signature and the method implementation, is decided by the compiler based on the compile-time type of the expression on which the static method call is made. With this knowledge in your armory, you can master the method binding mechanism for static methods yourself. You are advised to add a static method to the `Employee` class and hide it in the `Manager` class by declaring a static method with the same name. Call that static method in a class, say `Test3`. Use the `javap` tool to disassemble the code for `Test3` class and see if the result matches your understanding of the method binding.

Useful Links

Author's website	www.jdojo.com
Contacting the Author	ksharan@jdojo.com
Author's All Blogs	http://jdojo.com/feed/
Subscribing to Author's Blogs (RSS Feed)	http://jdojo.com/feed/
Downloading Sample Chapters of Harnessing Java 7 Book	http://jdojo.com/sample-chapters/
Purchasing Author's Books at Amazon	http://www.amazon.com/Kishori-Sharan/e/B006Z81X7K/ref=ntt_athr_dp_pel_1